

Reducing Child Poverty Can Promote Children’s Development and Productivity in Adulthood

Greg J. Duncan, Ph.D., University of California, Irvine | Hilary Hoynes, Ph.D., University of California, Berkeley

More than nine million U.S. children lived in families with incomes below the poverty line in 2019.¹ Relative to children in higher-income families, children living in poverty have worse health, lower language and cognitive skills in early childhood, and lower achievement through school years. As they reach adulthood, children living in poverty complete less schooling, secure lower-skilled jobs, and exhibit more criminal behavior.²⁻⁶ The most rigorous evidence suggests that child poverty is one cause of these differences, particularly if it persists for a number of years or occurs early in childhood.⁶ The costs of heightened health care, lost economic productivity, and crime driven by child poverty have been estimated to exceed \$800 billion annually.^{7,8} Combining existing federal income support policies shown to reduce child poverty with an expansion of the Child Tax Credit from the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 has the potential to cut child poverty in half. This would move 4.5 million children out of poverty and likely improve their developmental outcomes.^{9,10}


Child Poverty Before and During the COVID-19 Pandemic

Using poverty thresholds of about \$27,000 for a family with two parents and two children, about one-eighth of all U.S. children – more than nine million in all – lived in poverty in 2019. Poverty rates were more than twice as high among Black and Hispanic children (at about 20%) than among White (7%) and Asian (10%) children (Figure 1¹¹). During the pandemic, government benefits from COVID relief legislation – particularly from the CARES Act and the expanded Child Tax Credit – kept child poverty rates lower than what they would have been.¹²

Income Support Policies Alleviate Poverty and Thereby Improve Children’s Health, Educational Attainment, and Adult Earnings, and Reduce Crime

Although there is some variability in the evidence,¹³ a recent review from the National Academy of Sciences concluded that “the weight of the causal evidence indicates that income poverty itself causes negative child outcomes, especially when it begins in early childhood and/or persists throughout a large share of childhood.”¹⁴

Figure 1: 2019 Child Poverty Rates by Race/Ethnicity



A growing body of research shows that in most cases, cash or “near-cash” payments such as tax credits to families living in poverty improve children’s development and boost economic productivity in adulthood.⁶

For example:

- Studies of the food stamp program – now known as the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) – rollout in the 1960s and 1970s reveal that pregnant women who received benefits were less likely to have


low birth weight babies.¹⁵ Children up to age five whose families received benefits had improved educational attainment, earnings, income, and cardiovascular health in adulthood.^{16,17}

- Increases in the federal Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC) benefit for low-wage workers with children and the formation of state EITC programs drove increases in birth weight,^{18,19} school achievement,^{20,21} high school graduation rates, and college attendance and completion.^{22,23}
- Research tracking youth development before and after casino revenues were distributed to some but not other families in rural North Carolina communities found income payments were associated with reduced youth psychiatric disorders, drug abuse, and crime participation as well as increased high school graduation rates.^{24,25}

Child Tax Credit Expansions Will Further Reduce Child Poverty and Improve Child Outcomes

Federal programs that contribute to the largest reductions in child poverty are SNAP and two tax credits – the EITC and the Child Tax Credit (Figure 2). The pre-pandemic child poverty rate of 13.0% would have risen to 18%-19% in the absence of the 2019 versions of either the EITC or Child Tax Credit programs.²⁶

Figure 2: Child Poverty Rates With and Without 2019 Programs and With a \$3,000 Child Tax Credit (CTC) Expansion



The Society for Research in Child Development (SRCD) advances developmental science and promotes its use to improve human lives. Established in 1933 by the National Academy of Sciences, SRCD is an interdisciplinary scientific organization with members from more than 50 countries. *Child Evidence Briefs* are authored by invited experts in the field, and are designed to summarize leading scientific evidence to inform policy decisions and improve the lives of children and families. Statements appearing in *Child Evidence Briefs* are the views of the author(s) and do not imply endorsement by the editor or by SRCD.

The Child Tax Credit

- The pre-pandemic Child Tax Credit provided a \$2,000-per-child annual tax credit for families with income as high as \$400,000, but either no credits or smaller credits to families with children living in poverty.
- The Child Tax Credit provisions of the 2021 American Rescue Plan extend full benefits to all families living in poverty, with benefit levels set at \$3,600 for each child under age 6 and \$3,000 for each child age 6 and older who are born in the United States or are naturalized citizens. Benefits are paid either monthly or in a lump sum.²⁸
- Estimates in Figure 2 assume expanded benefit levels for all children are set at \$3,000.

The National Academies of Sciences found that one of the most effective policies to further reduce child poverty was to replace the pre-pandemic Child Tax Credit with a \$3,000 per-child, per-year child allowance, paid monthly, which was estimated to reduce child poverty by nearly 40%.²⁷ This structure is mirrored in the one-year expanded Child Tax Credit included in the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021, which also includes higher payments for children under age 6.²⁸ This expanded Child Tax Credit has been estimated to reduce child poverty by more than 50%.^{9,10} Taken together, evidence suggests that child poverty reduction from the expanded Child Tax Credit and other income-support policies are investments that will improve children’s development and adult productivity and enhance national prosperity.

Full references are available at www.srcd.org/child-evidence-briefs

EDITOR

Rebekah Levine Coley, Ph.D., Boston College

FOR MORE INFORMATION

policy@srcd.org

Kelly Fisher, Ph.D., Director for Policy, Society for Research in Child Development

Nighisti Dawit, M.Sc., Senior Policy Associate, Society for Research in Child Development

Visit www.srcd.org/child-evidence-briefs

© Society for Research in Child Development, 2021.